



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/504,893	02/16/2000	Seong-jin Moon	1293.1094/MDS 5544 EXAMINER		
49455	7590 10/26/2005				
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			LAO, LUN S		
1400 EYE ST	REET, NW	•			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2644		

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/504,893	MOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lun-See Lao	2644		

, ·	Lun-See Lao	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a)months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b)months from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(DTOL 224)				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)					
	4	VIVIAN CHIN					
		DRY PATENT EXAMINER ILOGY CENTER 2600					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly proposed claimed languages raise new issues that would require further consideration and / or search.